REMARKS

Claims 1 and 3 are pending in this application. By the Office Action, the specification is objected to; claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112; and claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103. By this Amendment, the specification is amended, and claim 2 is canceled and claim 1 is amended to incorporate the subject matter of canceled claim 2. No new matter is added.

I. Objection to Specification

The specification is objected to as not including updated information regarding the status of the parent application. By this Amendment, the specification is amended to update that information.

II. Rejection Under §112

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. The Office Action argues that the reference to "other rare earth oxides" is improper, as no such other rare earth oxides are specified in parent claim 1. Claim 2 is canceled rendering the rejection moot. The rejection is respectfully traversed with respect to amended claim 1.

Claim 1, as amended, specifies a method for polishing a glass hard disk platter, comprising polishing a glass hard disk platter using a stable slurry in which cerium(IV) oxide particles having an average secondary particle size of 0.1 to 0.5 µm are dispersed in water and which contains CeO₂ in a concentration of 0.2 to 30 wt%, wherein a proportion of cerium expressed as a ratio of (cerium oxide)/(cerium oxide + other rare earth oxides) in the cerium(IV) oxide particles is 95% or more based on weight. The claim clearly recites that the slurry is provided such that cerium is contained in an amount of (cerium oxide)/(cerium oxide + other rare earth oxides) in the cerium(IV) oxide particles is 95% or more based on weight. Although other rare earth oxides are not specifically recited as being present, the "comprising" language allows for the presence of other unspecified components, which could

include other rare earth oxides. Further, the claim merely limits the content of such other rare earth oxides that may be present, but in a very clear and definite manner.

Accordingly, claim 1 is not indefinite. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are respectfully requested.

III. Rejections Under §103

A. Abbasi

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Abbasi. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

This rejection is improper because Applicant's priority date precedes the filing date of Abbasi. Abbasi has an actual filing date in the United States of June 21, 2000, and was patented on September 24, 2002. The instant application, however, was filed on October 6, 2003, claiming benefit of Application No. 09/980,685 filed December 7, 2001, which in turn is a National Stage of PCT/JP00/04172 filed June 26, 2000. The PCT application in turn claimed priority to a Japanese patent application filed June 28, 1999. A certified copy of the Japanese patent application was filed in the PCT application and was acknowledged as of record in the parent application, and a verified translation thereof is filed herewith. As the instant claims are fully supported by the priority document, Abbasi is not prior art to the instant application.

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are respectfully requested.

B. Kasai, Ota, Yoshida '118, Kido, Matsuzawa, Yoshida '976, or Homma

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Kasai or Ota. Claims 1-3 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Yoshida '118, Kido, or Matsuzawa. Claims 1-2 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Yoshida '976, or Homma. Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections with respect to the amended claims.

1. The Claimed Invention

The claimed invention is directed to a method for polishing a glass hard disk platter, comprising polishing a glass hard disk platter using a stable slurry in which cerium(IV) oxide particles having an average secondary particle size of 0.1 to 0.5 µm are dispersed in water and which contains CeO₂ in a concentration of 0.2 to 30 wt%, wherein a proportion of cerium expressed as a ratio of (cerium oxide)/(cerium oxide + other rare earth oxides) in the cerium(IV) oxide particles is 95% or more based on weight. Such a method is nowhere taught or suggested by the numerous cited references.

The claimed invention thus relates to a method for polishing a glass hard platter, and provides a good surface having less unevenness due to a chemical/mechanical effect. The claimed method is suitable for uses that require precision polishing. See specification at paragraph [0071].

In addition, the claimed invention enables a polishing speed, and a ratio of polishing speed to average surface roughness, to be increased. This is accomplished by adjusting an abrasive compound such that cerium accounts for 95% or more in terms of oxides of the total amount of rare earth elements in the abrasive compound for polishing the glass disc platter. As a result, the claimed invention allows for improved productivity and reduced cost of the polishing step. See specification at paragraph [0073].

These benefits are specifically disclosed and described in the specification. For example, Table 1 at page 15 of the specification shows the polishing speed and average surface roughness of Examples 1-4 as compared to the Comparative Example 1. In Examples 1-4, where cerium accounts for 95% or more in terms of oxides of the total amount of rare earth elements in the abrasive compound, the abrasive compound provides significantly reduced average surface roughness and increased ratio of polishing speed to average surface

roughness, as compared to Comparative Example 1, where cerium accounts fro less than 95% in terms of oxides of the total amount of rare earth elements in the abrasive compound.

These benefits are not taught or suggested by the cited references.

2. Kasai

Kasai discloses a process for producing crystalline ceric oxide particles having a particle diameter of 0.005 to 5 micron, which comprises reacting a cerium (III) salt with an alkaline substance in an (OH)/(Ce³⁺) molar ratio of 3 to 30 in an aqueous medium in an inert gas atmosphere to produce a suspension of cerium (III) hydroxide, and blowing oxygen or a gas containing oxygen into the suspension at a temperature of 10 to 95°C and at an atmospheric pressure. Abstract. Kasai discloses that cerium oxide is used for polishing a silicon oxide film or a semiconductor device, quartz glass for a photomask, quartz crystal such as for a crystal oscillator, and the like.

However, Kasai is distinct from and does not teach or suggest the claimed invention.

In particular, Kasai does not teach or suggest that the polishing method is specifically used for polishing a glass hard disk platter.

Nor does Kasai teach or suggest that a proportion of cerium expressed as a ratio of (cerium oxide)/(cerium oxide + other rare earth oxides) in the cerium(IV) oxide particles is 95% or more based on weight. As shown in the Comparative Example of the instant specification, the ratio of cerium oxide can vary drastically, and thus the claimed ratio is not inherent in the teachings of Kasai. In the absence of any express teaching of the claimed ratio, Kasai cannot teach or suggest at least this feature of the claimed invention. Kasai does not teach or suggest that varying this ratio to 95% or more would have any beneficial effects, and thus it would not have been obvious to modify Kasai to practice the claimed invention.

3. <u>Ota</u>

Ota discloses a process for preparing ceric oxide particles used as an abrasive or polishing material for producing semiconductors or as a UV ray-absorbing material for plastics, glass and the like. The process prepares cerium (IV) oxide particles having a particle size of from 0.03 to 5 microns, which comprises adjusting an aqueous medium containing cerium (IV) hydroxide and a nitrate to a pH of from 8 to 11 with an alkaline substance and heating the aqueous medium at a temperature of from 100° to 200°C under pressure.

Abstract. Ota teaches that cerium oxide is used for polishing materials for producing semiconductor devices.

However, Ota would not have rendered obvious the claimed invention. In particular, Ota does not teach or suggest that the polishing method is specifically used for polishing a glass hard disk platter.

Nor does Ota teach or suggest that a proportion of cerium expressed as a ratio of (cerium oxide)/(cerium oxide + other rare earth oxides) in the cerium(IV) oxide particles is 95% or more based on weight. As shown in the Comparative Example of the instant specification, the ratio of cerium oxide can vary drastically, and thus the claimed ratio is not inherent in the teachings of Ota. In the absence of any express teaching of the claimed ratio, Ota cannot teach or suggest at least this feature of the claimed invention. Ota does not teach or suggest that varying this ratio to 95% or more would have any beneficial effects, and thus it would not have been obvious to modify Ota to practice the claimed invention.

4. <u>Yoshida '118</u>

Yoshida '118 discloses a cerium oxide abrasive with which the surfaces of substrates such as SiO₂ insulating films can be polished at a high rate without causing scratches. The abrasive comprises a slurry comprising cerium oxide particles whose primary particles have a diameter of from 10 nm to 600 nm and a median diameter of from 30 nm to 250 nm and

slurry particles have a median diameter of from 150 nm to 600 nm and a maximum diameter of 3,000 nm or smaller, the cerium oxide particles being dispersed in a medium. Abstract.

However, Yoshida '118 would not have rendered obvious the claimed invention. In particular, Yoshida '118 does not teach or suggest that the polishing method is specifically used for polishing a glass hard disk platter.

Nor does Yoshida '118 teach or suggest that a proportion of cerium expressed as a ratio of (cerium oxide)/(cerium oxide + other rare earth oxides) in the cerium(IV) oxide particles is 95% or more based on weight. Yoshida '118 merely discloses cerium oxide used in glass-surface polishing for photomasks, and entirely fails to teach or suggest the proportion of cerium in the total rare earth elements in an abrasive compound. As shown in the Comparative Example of the instant specification, the ratio of cerium oxide can vary drastically, and thus the claimed ratio is not inherent in the teachings of Yoshida '118. In the absence of any express teaching of the claimed ratio, Yoshida '118 cannot teach or suggest at least this feature of the claimed invention. Yoshida '118 does not teach or suggest that varying this ratio to 95% or more would have any beneficial effects, and thus it would not have been obvious to modify Yoshida '118 to practice the claimed invention.

5. Kido

Kido discloses a cerium oxide slurry for polishing comprising cerium oxide dispersed in water, wherein the slurry has a conductivity of about 30 c μS/cm or less when the cerium oxide concentration in the slurry is c wt. %. In order to adjust the conductivity to about 30 c μS/cm or less, cerium oxide is washed with deionized water. Abstract. Kido thus discloses cerium oxide used for polishing a glass article such as a photomask or a lens, on an insulating film during a step in the manufacture of a semiconductor device.

Kido discloses that the purity of the cerium oxide itself is preferably 99 mass% or more in order to minimize the contamination with metallic impurity derived from residual

cerium oxide abrasive particles after the semiconductor device or the like is polished with a cerium oxide abrasive and washed. Kido teaches that if the conductivity of the slurry exceeds 30 c µS/cm, an ionic substance serving as an impurity covers the surface of the cerium oxide particles, to thereby affect the polishing performance of the cerium oxide particles and lower the polishing rate. Col. 5, lines 8-12.

As described above, the cerium oxide in Kido is used for polishing semiconductor devices. Kido does not teach or suggest that the quality of the polished surface can be improved by selecting the proportion of cerium in the total rare earth elements in an abrasive compound. Kido merely discloses that cerium oxide having a high purity can prevent pollution of polished semiconductor devices.

Further, Kido at least implicitly teaches that the proportion of cerium in the total rare earth elements in an abrasive compound is not relevant or appreciated. For example, the Examples and Comparative Examples of Kido both use cerium oxide of 99.95 mass% purity. This indicates that Kido did not appreciate the importance of the proportion of cerium in the total rare earth elements in an abrasive compound.

In the absence of any express teaching of the claimed proportion of cerium expressed as a ratio of cerium oxide and other rare earth oxides, Kido cannot teach or suggest at least this feature of the claimed invention. Kido does not teach or suggest that varying this ratio to 95% or more would have any beneficial effects, and thus it would not have been obvious to modify Kido to practice the claimed invention.

6. <u>Matsuzawa</u>

Matsuzawa discloses an abrasive containing a slurry of cerium oxide grains dispersed in water. The Cerium oxide grains are obtained by adding hydrogen peroxide to an aqueous dispersion of cerium carbonate. The Cerium oxide grains are obtained by oxidizing a precipitate, which is formed through addition of ammonium hydrogen carbonate to an

aqueous solution of cerium nitrate, with hydrogen peroxide. The Cerium oxide grains are obtained by neutralizing or alkallfying an aqueous solution of cerium ammonium nitrate.

Abstract. Matsuzawa teaches that cerium oxide is used for polishing a silicon oxide film of a semiconductor device.

However, Matsuzawa would not have rendered obvious the claimed invention. In particular, Matsuzawa does not teach or suggest that the polishing method is specifically used for polishing a glass hard disk platter.

Nor does Matsuzawa teach or suggest that a proportion of cerium expressed as a ratio of (cerium oxide)/(cerium oxide + other rare earth oxides) in the cerium(IV) oxide particles is 95% or more based on weight. As shown in the Comparative Example of the instant specification, the ratio of cerium oxide can vary drastically, and thus the claimed ratio is not inherent in the teachings of Matsuzawa. In the absence of any express teaching of the claimed ratio, Matsuzawa cannot teach or suggest at least this feature of the claimed invention. Matsuzawa does not teach or suggest that varying this ratio to 95% or more would have any beneficial effects, and thus it would not have been obvious to modify Matsuzawa to practice the claimed invention.

7. Yoshida '976

Yoshida '976 discloses a manufacturing method for a glass product not having a rotatively symmetric body like an optical fiber fixing member but having a fine structure as of optical fiber engagement portions, to transfer the fine structure with a high precision without creating molding burrs. The method includes the steps of placing a glass material in a cavity defined by a lower mold, an upper mold, and a side mold, molding the glass material in the cavity with pressure into the glass product in so controlling that the glass material has a viscosity range of $10^{6.5}$ to $10^{9.5}$ poises at the beginning of molding operation with pressure, that the lower mold is at a temperature in a range such that the glass material indicates the

above viscosity range, and that the upper and side molds are at a temperature in a range such that a converted viscosity of the glass material at the temperature of the upper and side molds is 5 to 100 times higher than the viscosity of the glass material at the temperature of the lower mold, and decreasing the temperature of the lower mold at the same time that or after the glass product begins to be molded with pressure. To produce an optical fiber fixing member, the lower mold has a mold face for molding optical fiber engagement portions of the optical fiber fixing member; the upper mold has a mold face for molding a bottom of the optical fiber fixing member; and the side mold has a mold face for molding a side face of the optical fiber fixing member. Abstract.

Yoshida '976 merely teaches a method for manufacturing a glass product, such as an optical fiber, and does not teach or suggest a method for polishing a glass hard disk platter, as claimed. That is, Yoshida '976 entirely fails to teach or suggest a method for polishing a glass hard disk platter, comprising polishing a glass hard disk platter using a stable slurry in which cerium(IV) oxide particles having an average secondary particle size of 0.1 to 0.5 μm are dispersed in water and which contains CeO₂ in a concentration of 0.2 to 30 wt%, wherein a proportion of cerium expressed as a ratio of (cerium oxide)/(cerium oxide + other rare earth oxides) in the cerium(IV) oxide particles is 95% or more based on weight.

Accordingly, Yoshida '976 does not teach or suggest the claimed invention, and would not have rendered obvious the claimed invention.

8. Homma

Homma discloses an organic insulating film polished utilizing a polishing agent containing cerium oxide particles (a ceria slurry). The ceria slurry is composed of cerium oxide powder containing a total concentration of Na, Ca, Fe, and Cr of less than 10 ppm. Fragile inorganic and organic insulating films formed at relatively low temperatures can be polished without degrading characteristics of a semiconductor element having such films

thereon, due to, e.g., Na diffusion. Abstract. Homma thus discloses that cerium oxide is used for polishing an organic insulation film of a semiconductor device.

However, Homma would not have rendered obvious the claimed invention. In particular, Homma does not teach or suggest that the polishing method is specifically used for polishing a glass hard disk platter, as claimed.

Nor does Homma teach or suggest that a proportion of cerium expressed as a ratio of (cerium oxide)/(cerium oxide + other rare earth oxides) in the cerium(IV) oxide particles is 95% or more based on weight. As shown in the Comparative Example of the instant specification, the ratio of cerium oxide can vary drastically, and thus the claimed ratio is not inherent in the teachings of Matsuzawa. In the absence of any express teaching of the claimed ratio, Homma cannot teach or suggest at least this feature of the claimed invention. Homma does not teach or suggest that varying this ratio to 95% or more would have any beneficial effects, and thus it would not have been obvious to modify Homma to practice the claimed invention.

9. Conclusion

For at least these reasons, the claimed invention would not have been obvious over the cited references. The references fail at least to teach or suggest the claimed method for polishing a glass hard disk platter. Nor do the references teach or suggest a stable slurry containing cerium(IV) oxide particles wherein a proportion of cerium expressed as a ratio of (cerium oxide)/(cerium oxide + other rare earth oxides) in the cerium(IV) oxide particles is 95% or more based on weight, as claimed. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are thus respectfully requested.

IV. Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of the application are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,

Jamles A. Oliff Registration No. 27,075

Joel S. Armstrong Registration No. 36,430

JAO:JSA

Attachment

Translation of Priority Application

Date: December 16, 2005

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. Box 19928 Alexandria, Virginia 22320 Telephone: (703) 836-6400 DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE
AUTHORIZATION
Please grant any extension
necessary for entry;
Charge any fee due to our
Deposit Account No. 15-0461